#### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

Fron	the			<i>ب</i>			
INTE	RNATIONAL SEA	RCHING AUTH	ORITY		REC'D 0 3 NOV 200	ne.	
To:						υŞ	
					WIPO	PCT	
	see form	PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE			
000 1011111 0171011220				INTERNATION	IAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	HTY	
				(F	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	form BCT4CA D10 (colored about)		
				(uay///onat/year) set	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	licant's or agent's file			FOR FURTHER ACTION			
	form PCT/ISA/2	20 		See paragraph 2 below			
	rnational application	No.	International filing date (c	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
	T/L2005/000801		26.07.2005		25.01.2005		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC							
	IJ19/00, B01L3/0	0, G01N33/48					
	licant .	01.4100 L TD					
MC	LECULAR CYTO	OMICS LTD.		•			
1.	This opinion co	ontains indicati	ons relating to the follo	owing items:	-		
	☑ Box No. 1	Basis of the or	ninian				
	☑ Box No. II	Priority	Jimot,				
	☐ Box No. III	•	ment of opinion with reas	ard to novelty inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity o		and to hovery, inventor	e step and industrial applicability		
	🛭 Box No. V	Reasoned stat	tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations	s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial		
	Box No. VI	Certain docum		.,			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects	s in the international app	elication		÷	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	nal application			
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not ap the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
	submit to the IPE	PEA, the applicant is invited to nts, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,					
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3.	For further detail	s, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.				
Nam	e and mailing addres	es of the ISA:		Authorized Officer			
. 453111	maining audite:	55 OI 1110 ISA.		Authorized Officer	, said Pilita		



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Oenhausen, C

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2067



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000801

	Box	N	o. I	Basis of the opinion			
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	With nece	Vith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ecessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. ty	. type of material:					
		]	a se	equence listing			
		3	tabl	e(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. fo	o. format of material:					
	כ	J	in w	ritten format			
	C	3	in c	omputer readable form			
	c. tir	ne	of fil	ing/furnishing:			
	Ε	]	con	tained in the international application as filed.			
	Ε	3	filed	together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		כ	furn	ished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		ha co	s be pies	tion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto en filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as riate, were furnished.			
4.	Additional comments:						
_	Вох	N	o. II	Priority			
1.	×	do re	es n quire	lidity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority of have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where d, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the ption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.			
2.		ha	s be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.			
3.	Add	itio	nal c	observations, if necessary:			

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000801

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-37

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-37

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-37

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

#### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V.

#### 1. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1, 9, 15 AND 32

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-37 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. At the current stage of the proceedings, reference is made in particularly to the following:

- 1.1 Document D1 (WO-A-2004/113492, Applicant's own disclosure) describes a chip-device (a "picowell bearing device") having any array of wells being suitable for capturing a single cell to each well. Furthermore, fluid channels and a cover slip are disclosed (see in particularly claims 1, 75, 76, 79, 81, 88 and 105). D1 takes away the novelty of the independent claims 1, 9 and 15.
- 1.2 Document D2 (WO-A-01/35071, Applicant's own disclosure) describes a cell sorting apparatus having the features of the present claims 1, 9 and 15 (see D2, in particularly claims 1-8, description page 26 line 16ff). D2 therefore deprives these present independent claims of novelty.
- 1.3 Document D3 (WO-A-03/056330) discloses a cell sorting device comprising a carrier with arrays, micro channels, a cover on top of the array and a fluid dispenser (page 7 line 14 to page 12 line 19, figures 1-3, claims). The disclosure of D3 takes away the novelty of the independent claims 1, 9, 15 and 32. In respect of the at present unspecified automatic control system in claim 32 (see § 1.4 above), it is pointed out that the cell stream is automatically controlled via a magnetic or electric field according to D3 (page 12 § 3).
- 1.4 D4 (WO-A-02/26114) describes a cellular array in communication with micro channels, a cover and a dispensing means, the flow being controlled (page 6 line 3 to page 10 line 6, claims, figures). D4 renders the subject matter of the independent claims 1, 9 and 15 not novel.
- 1.5 According to D5 (US-A-6,377,721) a device for studying individual cells is known, said device comprising a carrier with microwells and micro channels. D5 therefore takes away the novelty of the independent claims 1 and 9.

- 1.6 In D6 (WO-A-03/011451) a device for studying individual cells is disclosed, which comprises the features of the independent claim 1 (see page 7 line 3 to page 11 § 1, page 17 § 3 to page 18 § 2 and the figures). The subject-matter claimed by the present claim 1 is therefore not novel.
- 1.7 D7 (WO-A-063034) describes an automated system for loading individual cells into discrete locations for study. This document discloses the features of the present independent claims 1, 9, 15 and 32 except for the lid as described by claims 9, 15 and 32 (see in particularly page 13 line 5 to page 15 line 27, page 17 line 8-19). D7 therefore deprives the independent claim 1 of novelty and the remaining independet claims 9, 15 and 32 of inventive step, since the addition of a lid, which is a s such described in several of the documents cited in this procedure, does not represent an unexpected effect to the skilled person.
- 2. **DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-8, 10-14, 16-31, and 33-37**Dependent claims 2-8, 10-14, 16-31, 33-37 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IL2005/000801

#### Re Item VII.

- The independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combi nation from the prior art to be placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remain ing features to be included in the characteris ing part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- The Applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that the reference to the prior art IL04/00192 in the description (for example on pages 6-8, 21, 31 and 38) is incorrect. He is requested to verify whether IL04/00194 is intended.
- 3 Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D3-D7 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IL2005/000801

#### Re Item VIII.

- The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because the independent claims 1, 9, 15 and 32 are not clear. Said claims refer to a "picowell bearing device"; however the term "picowell" is unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature to which it refers. Nevertheless, according to the description (page 3 line 16-17), "picowell" encompasses all known physical variations of microwells. Moreover, the term "picowell" has no well-recognised meaning and for that reason also leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature to which it refers.
- Claim 1 defines the scope of the claim by "consisting essentially of no more than four components", said expression being vague. Furthermore, the vague and imprecise statements in the description on page 16 (line 22-27) and page 39 (line 28) to page 40 (line 11) imply that the subject-matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in lack of clarity (Article 6 PCT) when used to interpret them.
- Although the independent claims 1, 9, 15 and 32 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- The terms "functionally associated" and "substantially automatically" in the independent claim 32 are vague and leave the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature to which it refers. The independent claim 32 furthermore refers to a control system which is as such not further specified in terms of what to control and how. The application therefore does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT. (For the sake of completeness, the Applicant's attention is also drawn to the clerical error "auomatically" in claim 32, last line).